



Kounali, D. Z., Ades, A. E., Soldan, K., & Horner, P. (2019). Response to White and Lewis: Letter to editor in response to Has Chlamydia trachomatis prevalence in young women in England, Scotland and Wales changed? Evidence from national probability surveys. *Epidemiology and Infection*. 2019. *Epidemiology and Infection*, 147, e272. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268819001560>

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

License (if available):
CC BY

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1017/S0950268819001560](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268819001560)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the final published version of the article (version of record). It first appeared online via Cambridge University Press at <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268819001560> . Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>


Letter to the Editor

Cite this article: Kounali DZ, Ades AE, Soldan K, Horner P (2019). Response to White and Lewis: Letter to editor in response to Has *Chlamydia trachomatis* prevalence in young women in England, Scotland and Wales changed? Evidence from national probability surveys. *Epidemiology and Infection*. 2019. *Epidemiology and Infection* **147**, e272, 1. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268819001560>

Author for correspondence:

D. Z. Kounali, E-mail: daphne.kounali@bristol.ac.uk

Response to White and Lewis: Letter to editor in response to Has *Chlamydia trachomatis* prevalence in young women in England, Scotland and Wales changed? Evidence from national probability surveys. *Epidemiology and Infection*. 2019

D. Z. Kounali^{1,2} , A. E. Ades¹, K. Soldan^{2,3} and P. Horner^{1,2}

¹Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, 38 Whatley Road Bristol BS2 8PS, UK; ²National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research Unit in Evaluation of Interventions, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK and ³Public Health England, 61 Colindale Avenue, London NW9 5EQ, UK

White and Lewis [1] comment on our article [2] highlighting the methodological issues arising when attempting to use the National survey of Attitudes and Sexual Lifestyles (NATSAL) to calibrate estimates of seroprevalence derived from data available by sources such as the PHE Seroepidemiology Unit [3] and Health Survey for England [4]. White and Lewis [1] do not challenge our observations. We agree with White and Lewis [1] on the importance of data on health-seeking behaviour. It is not possible to use data on individuals who are tested for CT to make inferences about CT prevalence, or changes in CT prevalence over time, without information on how the CT prevalence relates to the probability of being tested, and how that changes over time [5–7]. Individuals may be tested for a number of reasons: following an *ad hoc* offer of opportunistic testing; as a result of symptoms; or concern about recent sexual encounters. Each of these factors may impact on CT prevalence among those tested in GP surgeries or GUM clinics.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research Unit (NIHR HPRU) in Evaluation of Interventions at the University of Bristol in partnership with Public Health England (PHE). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, the Department of Health or Public Health England.

References

1. White PJ and Lewis J (2019) Letter to editor in response to Has *Chlamydia trachomatis* prevalence in young women in England, Scotland and Wales changed? Evidence from national probability surveys. *Epidemiology and Infection* **147**, e271. Cambridge University Press.
2. Kounali DZ *et al.* (2019) Has *Chlamydia trachomatis* prevalence in young women in England, Scotland and Wales changed? Evidence from national probability surveys. *Epidemiology and Infection* **147**, e107, 1–7.
3. Horner P *et al.* (2013) *C. trachomatis* pgp3 antibody prevalence in young women in England, 1993–2010. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e72001.
4. Mindell J *et al.* (2012) Cohort profile: the Health Survey for England. *International Journal of Epidemiology* **41**, 1585–1593.
5. Soldan K, Dunbar JK and Gill ON (2018) Estimating chlamydia prevalence: more difficult than modelling suggests. *The Lancet Public Health Correspondence* **3**, Pe416.
6. Low N and Smid JH (2018) Changes in chlamydia prevalence over time: how to observe the unobserved. *The Lancet Public Health* **3**, e260–e261.
7. Miller WC (2008) Epidemiology of chlamydial infection: are we losing ground? *Sexually Transmitted Infection* **84**, 82–86.

© The Author(s) 2019. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.